

Revenue (1930) Department.

SERIES.

G.O. No. 1608

DATED 25- 8 34

[Despatch Abstract.]

ALLOWANCES

Religious (South Kanara) - Karkal Taluk - Bola village -
Panchadhumavathi Daiva - Conversion into Beriz deduction
papers recorded.

3/6
206.

Rev. Dept.

CH
to the
27/5/30.

O.M. No. 1560 B/30-107.27 -5-30

Allowances - Moshini -
S. Kanara - conversion into
beriz dedushini - Report.


The Board of Revenue is
requested, ^{to state} when the report

~~order~~ referred to in para 2 of

(Y) G.O. No. Hiss 318 Rev of 19-2-30
may be expected.

B
26/5/30

My
27.5.30



To The Board of Rev., L.R.S.

3
REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

C.F. 31

Received  CURRENT No. 1598B
Registered. 27.5.30 19
Subject. B2
992
27.5

ALLOWANCES - Religious Institutions -

Conversion into beriz - Deduction - Further Report -

Submitted.

ENCLOSURES.
No.
Spare copies.....

Ref. B. No.1986/30-1.

Station M A D R A S Dated the 22nd May 1930. 19

From

S. G. Sengodaiyan, Esq., M.A., I.C.S.,
Ag. Secretary,

Land Revenue and
The Board of Revenue, Revenue Settlement, etc.
Separate Revenue.

H. A. B. Vernon, Esq., C.I.E., I.C.S.,
Commissioner of Land Revenue.

~~Resolution~~

~~Reference~~

Read the following:-

I.

(V)

G.O. No.806, Revenue, dated 24th April 1929.

II.

Letter from G. W. Wells, Esquire, M.A., I.C.S.,
Collector of South Kanara, L.Dis.No.1873/30,
dated the 26th April 1930.

REFERENCE:-

11-17 of 20318
Rev of 19-2-1930 (2)
113 (2)

Ref.- Paragraphs 2 and 4 of Board's Reference No.
K. 5151/29-6, dated 6th February 1930 and
paragraph 2 of G.O. No.318 Mis., dated
19th February 1930.


On further enquiry, the Collector reports

that

that the Brahmadaia inam (Rs.5-10-5) in respect of Laxman Bhatta in Belman Village, is supported by a Title Deed issued by the Inam Commissioner. The case does not therefore come under G.O. No.806 Revenue, dated 24th April 1929.

//
(V)

2. A tasdik allowance of Rs.2-3-2 is paid to the institution of Panchadhumavati Daiva of Bola Village. The Potail's family to which the muktessorship of the Daiva belongs, does not possess any title deed to show right to the allowance. The Board recommends that the allowance, though small, may be replaced by a 'beriz deduction'.


for Ag. Secretary. 26-5-30

To

The Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

2/6/30.
 R 5 - 8 - 30

31/5/30

Rev. Ref. No. 1560 B / 30-27. 31-5-30

Allowances - Religious -
 (S. Kanara) - Karkal
 Taluk - Bole village -
 Panchadhumavathi Dawa
 conversion into herid
 deduction - Proposals.

From the Board of Rev. XRS

Ref. B. 1286/30-187.22.5-30.

124 CF

123-4 CF.

In its para 2 yits refer read
 above, the Board of Rev has
 reported that the Potait's family
 to which the mok-tenorship (Q)

Panchadhumavathi Dawa of
 belongs does not possess any
 title deed to show the right to

some part of the list of the S. Kanara
 at flag (Q)
 the allowance of Rs 2-3-2
 which is being paid to the
 institution But in

In the list of allowance
 relating to the S. Kanara dt
 printed in G.O. 2065 Rev of

9-10-1929 the words 'G.D. No.
 131/75' have been printed
 under column 3 against

the institution Panchadhu
vati Dawa referred to in
 para 2 of the Ref. read


above. The Board of Revenue
 is requested to ascertain
 and report whether the
 entry refers to the fact of
 a title deed having been
 issued by the Srambannu
 in respect of the allowance

To the Board of Rev. XRS. B. 30/5
 though the Potait's family may
 not be in possession yet now.

recd. by
 3/2/30

Revenue

DEPARTMENT.



CURRENT No.

165-6 B

Received

Registered

6-6-30

19

Subject.

B2

$$\frac{981}{6.6.30}$$

Allowances - Mohini - (South Kanara) - Conversion
into beriz deduction.

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Spare copies

Reference. B. No. 1986/30-2

Station M a d r a s Dated the 2nd June 1930

From S.G. Sengodaiyan Esq., M.A., I.C.S.,
Ag. Secretary,

Land Revenue. and

The Board of Revenue, Revenue Settlement, etc.

Separate Revenue.

H.A.B. Vernon Esq., C.S.I., C.I.E., I.C.S.,
Commissioner of Land Revenue

ResolutionReference.

Read the following :-

p 1. infra

Memorandum from Government, Revenue Department, No.
1560 B/30-1 dated 27th May 1930.

Reference. -

Reply was submitted with the Board's Reference

p 3-4 infra . No. 1986/30-1 dated 22nd May 1930.


T
Recd on 27th

Jagannathan
for Ag. Secretary

To the Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

m.k.g/4-6.

6.6.30



CURRENT No.

2137 B

B2
2/18

Registered

16 8 30 19

Subject.

Allowances Religious - (South Kanara)- Karkal taluk-
Bola village- Panchadhumavathi Daiva- Conversion into beriz
deduction.

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Reference No. B 1986/30--4

Spare copies

Station M a d r a s Dated the 14th August '30

From
S.G. Sengodaiyan Esq., M.A., I.C.S.,
Ag. Secretary,

Land Revenue and
The Board of Revenue, Revenue Settlement, etc.
Separate Revenue

H.A.B. Vernon Esq., C.S.I., C.I.E., I.C.S.,
Commr. of Land Revenue.

Resolution
Reference.

Read the following:-

I

Memorandum from Government, Revenue Department, No. 1560
B/30-2 dated the 31st May 1930.

II

Letter from G.W. Wells Esq., M.A., I.C.S., Collector of South
Kanara, L.Dis.No. 6143-30 dated the 4th August 1930:-

Board's Reference B 1986-30-2 dated 9th June 1930.

Enquiry shows that the pottails family does not know
whether any title deed was issued by the Inam Commissioner.
Since however a title deed bearing No. 131/75 was issued to


p 5 supra

the institution, it is quite likely that it was mislaid by some previous Moktessor. None of the present members of pottail's family know anything about it.

Reference.-

Submitted to Government.

2. As the tasdik allowance of Rs. 2-3-2 paid to the Panchadhumavathi Daiva is supported by a title deed, the case does not come under G.O.No.806 Revenue, dated 24th April 1929. *129* (V)



Ag. Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Deptt.

M.D.15--8

P.O.
SR
15/8.

Recd Dept.

Allowances - Mohini - conversion into
beriz deduction. Further report.

To Asst Secy.



Re 1560B

21.530,

Rs 2

21.530

(L)

G.O. No. 318 Rev of 19-2-1930 is sub?
for approval.

B

26/5.

27.5.30

p 1 of. Memo to the Board of Rev., X.R.U. No. 1560B/30-1
of 27-5-30.

pp 3-4 of C.No. 1598B/30. From the Board of Rev., X.R.U.
Ref. B. 1986/30. of 22.5

To Asst Secy.

Please see Board's Ref. on pp 3-4.
In para 2 of its Ref. on p 4 c.f. the Board
of Revenue states that the Potail's farm
to which the muktessorship of the Panch
dhumravati Daria belongs does not
possess any title deed to show the right
to the allowance of Rs 2-3-2 paid to it
and that therefore the allowance may
be replaced by a beriz deduction in
accordance with the orders in G.O. No.

806 Rev dt. 24-4-29. But in the list on p 28 (V)

of class I allowances relating to S. Kanana
^{printed in 40.2065 Rev dt 9-10-29} (2)

dt. the words 'G.D. No 131/75' have
^{under column 3}
been printed against item 126 134,

Pancha Dumarathi Shruttha ~~india~~ in same as referred
Karkhal taluk: In view of this entry ^{to in para 2 of Board's} Ref on p 4 of.

it seems desirable to ascertain from
the Board of Revenue whether any
title deed was issued by the Imam
bannu in respect of the allowance.

A draft memo to the Board
of Revenue is submitted for approval.

PS
30/5/30.

Issue
dy
31.5.30

Memo to the Board of Rev., Z.R.D. No. 1560 B/30-2 dt. 31.5.30. PS-Cf

C.No. 656 B/30. From the Board of Rev. Z.R.D.

Ref. B.1986/30-2 dt. 2-6-30.

To A.S.

Submitted for perusal. No action is
called for. The reply to Joint memo No
1560 B/30-2 dt 31-5-30 on p. 5 c.f. may be.

H 9-10 CF Board's Ref B 1986-30-4 D) 14 8. 30.

Go 8.5

{Previous ones need not be read}

In para 1 of Go 806 Rev
D) 24-4-28 the Govt directed that the
system of paying to religious
institutions in the Tanjore Dt what
are locally known as 'Mohini
allowances' should be replaced
by a system of 'being deductions'
except in those cases in which the
Zam Commissioner ^{had} issued title deed
at the Zam settlement or in which
whole villages which are now or
governed by the Madras Act I of 190
were concerned. In para 2 of the
G.O the Board of Rev was asked
to submit proposals for the treat-
ment of similar cases, if any, in
the other districts of the Presidency.
In its Refce D) 6-2-30 the Board
reported that there were only
three cases similar to the 'Mohini
allowance' of Tanjore - one in
South Arcot and two in South
Canara. In Go No 318 Rev D) 19 2/2
the Govt passed orders regarding

South West Case and awaited
report relating to the two cases
in South Canada. The report^x regarding
these two^x cases has now been
submitted by the Board. As title
deeds were issued in both these
cases, the cases do not come
under Go 806 Rev of 24-4-28.
A draft order is submitted for
approval.

X M 3-4 + 9-10 CF

2
78
190
20820

MY
21.8.30
S. ^{acc} 24/87

	Date.	Initials.
When received for Fair-copying.	25/8	[Signature]
When copied.		
When examined.		
When signed.		
When despatched.		

12/25/8
[Signature]
[Signature]

READ AGAIN G.O. No. 806 NW, dated 24-4-1929-^(V)
 " " " " 2065 NW, dated 9-10-1929-^(a)
 " " " " 318 NW, dated 19-2-1930-^(L)

READ ALSO:-

C. No. 1560 B/30 From the Bd of NW DMS, No B 1986-301, dated 22-5-1930 - M 8-100

" " " " " " " " B 1986-30-4, dated 14-8-1930

" " " " " " " " " " " " " " 19

ORDER.
mis

No. 1608, dated 25/8 28/8 1930.

The Government agree with the Board of NW that the two tax-disk allowances of Rs 2-3-2 and Rs 5-10-5 in the South Kanara Dt referred to by the Board do not come under go 806 NW D 24-4-2 as title deeds were issued in both cases.

Recorded

25/8

140
20820

dy
21-8-30

21/8/30

Chart 140
25/8
11/8/30